



Optimal Governance from the Perspective of the Holy Quran; Extracting a Theoretical Model to Strengthen Political Security

Seyed Sajjad Aleseyedghafour¹

Abstract

Introduction:

In contemporary Islamic societies, the intersection of faith, politics, and security presents a complex and urgent field of inquiry. As these societies navigate the pressures of globalization, internal diversification, and geopolitical challenges, the demand for governance frameworks that are both authentically rooted in Islamic tradition and effectively responsive to modern complexities has become paramount. Prevailing secular, Western-derived models of governance and security often fail to resonate with the deeply held religious, moral, and spiritual values that form the bedrock of social and political life in these contexts. This dissonance can lead to legitimacy deficits, social fragmentation, and chronic instability. Consequently, there is a critical and growing need to systematically articulate an indigenous, textually-grounded paradigm of governance derived directly from the primary source of Islamic epistemology: the Holy Quran. This research responds to this need by undertaking a rigorous exegetical study to construct and explain a coherent model of optimal governance (*siyasah al-shar'iyah*) based on Quranic teachings, with a specific focus on its causal mechanisms for strengthening political security. The central research question guiding this investigation is: How can the Quranic model of optimal governance conceptually and operationally lead to the strengthening of political security in Islamic societies? The study posits that political security, within an Islamic framework, transcends mere regime survival or the absence of physical threat; it is reconceptualized as a comprehensive state of collective assurance and stability that emerges from a just, ethical, and participatory political order.

1. Official Researcher, Institute of Islamic Sciences and Culture, Qom, Iran. Corresponding Author
Email: aleghafoor@isca.ac.ir

Methodology:

This study employs an integrated qualitative methodology combining Inferential Thematic Interpretation (Tafsir Mawdu'i Istiqra'i) with Directed Qualitative Content Analysis. The methodological process was executed in four sequential, systematic phases to ensure depth, validity, and reliability. First, using the principle of thematic unity (al-wahdah al-mawdu'iyah), a comprehensive survey of the Quran was conducted to extract all verses directly or contextually related to core concepts of governance (e.g., hukm, istikhlaf, amanah), leadership (imarah, qiyadah), and conditions of societal security (amn) and insecurity (fitnah, fasad). This resulted in a corpus of 70 key verses (42 direct, 28 indirect) forming the primary textual database.

Second, this corpus was imported into MAXQDA 2020 software for systematic coding and analysis, ensuring analytical rigor and traceability. The qualitative analysis followed the structured paradigm of Corbin and Strauss: Open Coding identified primary semantic units and key concepts (e.g., justice ('adl), consultation (shura), trusteeship (amanah), covenant ('ahd), oppression (zulm)). Axial Coding synthesized these concepts into overarching analytical categories, primarily distinguishing governance challenges at two levels: Governmental-Level Pathologies (tyranny (istibdad), judicial injustice, arrogance (istikbar), breach of trust) and Socio-Popular-Level Pathologies (social discord (ikhtilaf), moral corruption (fasad al-akhlaq), weakening of collective faith (iman), spread of fear (khawf)). Selective Coding integrated these categories into a coherent theoretical narrative, identifying the core relational dynamic between governance principles and security outcomes.

Third, the interpretation adhered strictly to established Quranic hermeneutical principles, primarily interpreting the Quran with the Quran (tafsir al-quran bil-quran) and referencing authoritative classical and contemporary exegeses—such as Al-Mizan by Allamah Tabatabai and Tafsir al-Namounah by Makarim Shirazi—to validate contextual understandings and avoid subjective extrapolation. Finally, the derived model was engaged in a critical comparative analysis with selected contemporary Western governance theories (e.g., Foucault's governmentality, Habermas's communicative action, Rawls's justice) to identify points of convergence and unique Quranic contributions.

Results and Discussion:

The analysis yields a sophisticated, two-level Quranic model that links specific governance principles to the generation of political

security. The findings first delineate that the Quran diagnoses threats to political security as originating from failures at two interconnected levels:

Governmental-Level Failures: Characterized by the abandonment of divine mandates for justice, exemplified by the Pharaoh's tyranny (taghut), Qarun's economic corruption and arrogance, and the leaders of Thamud's betrayal of public trust. These create insecurity through institutionalized oppression, lawlessness, and the erosion of public confidence.

Socio-Popular-Level Failures: Manifested as societal discord (tafarruq), breakdown of ethical norms, and loss of shared moral purpose, as warned against in numerous communal admonishments. This internal fragmentation makes society vulnerable to external and internal destabilization.

In response, the Quranic model prescribes a framework for optimal governance built on four foundational, interdependent pillars:

Justice ('Adl): As the absolute and overarching objective (maqasid) of governance, extending from judicial fairness to distributive and social equity.

Competence and Meritocracy (Ahliyyah): Emphasized in the story of Talut (Saul), where leadership is bestowed based on knowledge and physical capacity, not lineage or wealth.

Accountability and Trusteeship (Amanah): Positioning the ruler as a trustee responsible before God and the people, with power conceived as a sacred responsibility, not ownership.

Public Participation and Consultation (Shura): Mandating a reciprocal communicative process between leaders and the community in affairs of public concern.

These pillars are operationalized through subsidiary mechanisms such as the rule of divine law (hakimiyyah), transparency in conduct, and the active cultivation of social unity (wahdah). The model posits that the institutionalization of these principles disrupts the pathology of insecurity. For instance, justice directly neutralizes the grievances that fuel rebellion; meritocracy ensures effective administration and public respect for authority; accountability prevents power abuse and builds institutional trust; and shura fosters collective ownership and political legitimacy.

The discussion situates this model within contemporary academic discourse. It reveals significant conceptual convergences: Quranic amanah (accountability) resonates with Foucault's emphasis on power as discipline and surveillance over oneself and institutions; shura aligns with Habermas's ideal of communicative action and deliberative democracy; and the primacy of 'adl finds a profound echo in Rawls's theory of justice. However, the Quranic model

critically enriches and transcends these theories by anchoring its principles in a transcendental, metaphysical foundation. It substitutes self-interest or social contract with divine covenant (mithaq), infuses the motivation for justice with God-consciousness (taqwa), and expands the goal of security from physical preservation to encompass spiritual and moral well-being (hayat tayyibah). Thus, political security becomes a dynamic byproduct of a righteous social order, not its ultimate, secular end.

Conclusion and Implications:

This study concludes by presenting a systematic, Quranically-derived theoretical model that redefines sustainable political security as the endogenous product of a governance system founded on justice, merit, accountability, and popular consultation. It moves beyond the reductionist, stability-oriented definitions common in secular political science (e.g., Buzan's classical formulations) to propose a holistic, values-based framework. The research makes a significant contribution by filling a gap in the literature on Islamic political theory, offering a structured, text-based alternative to both autocratic interpretations and secular-imposed models.

The practical policy implications for contemporary Islamic societies are substantial. Implementing this model requires concrete steps: institutionalizing objective, merit-based systems for public office selection; strengthening independent oversight bodies (like hisbah) for vertical and horizontal accountability; legally embedding mechanisms for genuine public consultation; and cultivating through education a civic culture infused with ethical and faith-based responsibility. Future research trajectories are essential to build upon this foundational work. First, quantitative, comparative studies are needed to develop metrics and indices to measure the conformity of existing political systems in Muslim-majority states with the proposed Quranic governance indicators. Second, in-depth comparative philosophical analyses should further explore the dialogues and tensions between the Quranic model and other ethical governance theories, such as Sen's capability approach or modern good governance paradigms. Such research will not only deepen academic understanding but also provide actionable pathways for realizing politically secure and spiritually vibrant Islamic societies in the modern world.

Keywords: Holy Quran, Governance, Political Security, Justice, Meritocracy, Accountability, Directed Qualitative Analysis

References

- The Holy Quran.
- Akhwan Kazemi, B. (2015). The Security Model in the Security School of the Islamic Republic of Iran. *Horizons of Security*, 8(26), 105-134. (In Persian)
- DOI: [20.1001.1.25381857.1394.8.26.5.5]
- Al-Sayyed Ghafour, S. S. (2021). Political Security of Groups; Causes and Obstacles from the Perspective of the Holy Quran. *Transcendent Policy*, 9(32), 8-24. (In Persian)
- Bourdieu, P. (2014). *An Outline of a Theory of Practice* (H. Pouyan, Trans.). Tehran: Ney Publishing. (Original work published 1972). (In Persian)
- Buzan, B. (2007). *People, States & Fear: An Agenda for International Security Studies in the Post-Cold War Era*. Colchester: ECPR Press.
- Chopra, M. O. (2004). *Islam and Economic Development: A Strategy for Development with Justice and Stability* (S. I. Alavi & M. N. Nazarpour, Trans.). Qom: Mofid University Press. (In Persian)
- Davari Ardakani, R., & Shakeri, S. R. (2009). Political Security in the Thought of Imam Khomeini. *Political Science*, 5(1), 69-86. (In Persian)
- Foucault, M. (1995). *Discipline and Punish: The Birth of the Prison*. New York: Vintage Books.
- Giddens, A. (1984). *The Constitution of Society: Outline of the Theory of Structuration*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Habermas, J. (1984). *The Theory of Communicative Action, Volume 1: Reason and the Rationalization of Society*. Boston: Beacon Press.
- Hashemi Rafsanjani, A., & Center for Quranic Culture and Studies Researchers. (1997). *Tafsir-e Rahnamā: A New Method in Presenting Quranic Concepts and Subjects*. Qom: Bustan-e Ketab. (In Persian).
- Qara'ati, M. (2012). *Tafsir-e Noor [The Noor Interpretation]* (2nd ed.). Tehran: Darshae az Quran Cultural Institute. (In Persian).
- Kamali, M. H. (2011). *Citizenship and Accountability of Government: An Islamic Approach*. Cambridge: Islamic Texts Society.
- Lakzaei, N., Rahmatullahi, H., Haj-zadeh, H., & Arasta, M. J. (2015). The Political Security of Individuals and Its Authority in Imamiyyah Jurisprudence. *Islamic Law*, 44, 113-152. (In Persian)
- Makarim Shirazi, N., (1994). [Book Title in Persian] (Vol. [Number]). Qom: Dar al-Kutub al-Islamiyyah. (In Persian)

- Nasr, S. H. (2006). Islamic Philosophy from Its Origin to the Present: Philosophy in the Land of Prophecy. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Putnam, R. D. (1993). Making Democracy Work: Civic Traditions in Modern Italy. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Rawls, J. (1999). A Theory of Justice (Revised ed.). Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Sen, A. (1999). Development as Freedom. New York: Alfred A. Knopf.
- Tabatabai, M. H. (1997). Al-Mizan: An Exegesis of the Quran (Vols. 1-20). (S. S. A. Rizvi, Trans.). Tehran: World Organization for Islamic Services.

Publisher: Imam Hussein University

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

© Authors

