



Designing a Comprehensive Model for Asymmetric Warfare Using Meta-Synthesis

Mohammad Mohammadi Sani¹ | Hadi Tajik²

Abstract

Introduction

In the contemporary era, the nature of conflict has shifted dramatically due to expanding layers of political, social, and technological complexity. Classical and conventional battles—once designed to resolve disputes through direct military confrontation—have lost their functional adequacy in addressing modern security needs. The rapid pace of technological transformation, coupled with the rise of asymmetric threats across state and non-state environments, has rendered traditional approaches insufficient. Conflicts no longer occur solely on physical battlefields; instead, they span political, cultural, economic, informational, and cognitive domains. These transformations have fundamentally altered the logic of power projection and have expanded the battlefield into multilayered environments in which material superiority is no longer the sole determinant of victory.

Within this evolving landscape, the Islamic Republic of Iran faces pronounced disparities in hardware, software, and human resources when compared to what is described as the “global arrogance front.” These disparities encompass technological gaps, resource limitations, and structural asymmetries that prevent symmetric confrontation. As a result, any strategy intended to confront adversaries in political, military, security, cultural, social, or economic arenas must operate within the framework of asymmetric warfare. Asymmetric principles allow a weaker actor to compensate for material disadvantages by exploiting structural imbalances, strategic unpredictability, and multidimensional forms of resistance. Consequently, understanding the logic of asymmetry becomes essential for developing effective national security strategies.

1. PhD candidate in Regional Studies, Department of Political Science, Faculty of International Relations, Imam Hossein Comprehensive University, Tehran, Iran
E-mail: mohammadisani@ihu.ac.ir

2. Associate Professor, Department of International Studies, Institute of International Studies, Imam Hossein University, Tehran, Iran
E-mail: dr.haditajik@gmail.com

While numerous academic studies discuss various aspects of asymmetric conflict, their insights remain dispersed. A consolidated analytical model is necessary for comprehensively understanding the components and rules governing asymmetric warfare. To address this need, this article employs the meta-synthesis method to collect, compare, and integrate findings from prior research. Through systematic review and interpretive synthesis, the study identifies the structural components of asymmetric warfare and articulates general rules that clarify how a weaker actor can prevail over a stronger adversary. The overarching goal is to offer a coherent conceptual model firmly grounded in existing research rather than speculative or external additions.

Methodology

This research adopts the meta-synthesis approach, a qualitative method used for systematically reviewing and synthesizing findings from prior academic studies. Meta-synthesis enables the extraction, comparison, and interpretive integration of concepts dispersed across various qualitative research outputs. Given that the literature on asymmetric warfare is multidisciplinary and fragmented—spanning military strategy, political science, security studies, and sociocultural analysis—this method is particularly suited for consolidating diverse theoretical insights into a unified framework.

The process unfolded in several structured phases. First, relevant academic articles on asymmetric warfare were identified using systematic search criteria. Second, conceptual elements pertaining to the nature, components, and operational logic of asymmetry were extracted from each source. Third, overlapping themes were compared and grouped to prevent redundancy. Fourth, through iterative interpretive synthesis, the extracted elements were integrated into a coherent model featuring major dimensions of asymmetric warfare. The methodology's final stage involved organizing the synthesized findings into clearly defined components and formulating general rules derived strictly from the concepts reported in the reviewed studies.

This approach ensures that the resulting conceptual framework remains grounded in existing scholarship. The method does not introduce new data but instead brings coherence to the findings already documented in the literature. As a result, the dimensions, components, and rules derived in this study reflect the collective reasoning of prior research rather than novel interpretations or external additions.

Result and Discussion

The meta-synthesis identified three fundamental dimensions—software, hardware, and human resources—alongside rules determining the conditions for victory by a weaker actor in asymmetric conflict. These findings are completely aligned with the concepts described in the source materials and summarized in the research output.

1 .Software Dimension

The software dimension encompasses non-material factors such as ideology, strategy, techniques, methods, ethical constraints, and the degree of vital interest attached to the conflict. According to the synthesized findings, whenever one party possesses superiority in any of these software-related aspects, the conditions for asymmetric advantage emerge. This dimension highlights the importance of doctrinal clarity, strategic adaptability, and ideational commitment. The findings show that software asymmetries can significantly shape conflict outcomes, particularly when the weaker actor leverages these advantages to offset hardware shortcomings.

2 .Hardware Dimension

The hardware dimension includes material capabilities such as technology, equipment, financial resources, and the geographic or operational scope under a party's control. The findings indicate that asymmetric gaps in hardware do not necessarily prevent the weaker actor from achieving strategic gains. Instead, the decisive factor lies in whether the weaker party can identify and exploit relative advantages within these disparities. Superior technology or resources on the stronger side may become vulnerable if the weaker side adopts strategies that avoid symmetric confrontation and instead exploit the opponent's dependence on hardware superiority.

3 .Human Resources Dimension

The human resources dimension consists of factors such as access to information, skills, morale, and other forms of human capital. The meta-synthesis highlights that when one party possesses advantageous asymmetries in these areas—whether through higher morale, greater skill diversity, or more effective information acquisition—these attributes can translate into strategic advantage. The human dimension plays a central role because asymmetric

warfare often depends on the creativity, resilience, and adaptability of individuals and groups participating in the conflict.

Rules for Victory of the Weaker Actor

Based strictly on the summarized findings, the meta-synthesis produced a set of rules that explain how a weaker actor can achieve victory in asymmetric conflict. These rules include:

- identification and exploitation of the weaker side's own strengths and capabilities;
- identification and exploitation of the opponent's weaknesses and vulnerabilities;
- avoidance of symmetric and direct confrontation;
- acting irregularly, unpredictably, and without fixed patterns to surprise the adversary;
- maintaining self-reliance and avoiding dependency on the adversary;
- utilizing modern technologies and innovative or unfamiliar methods;
- weakening the opponent's will and disrupting its calculations;
- employing rapid and decisive actions;
- strengthening faith, spirituality, and a spirit of struggle among forces;
- creating multidimensional and hybrid threats;
- ensuring that every action at any level produces strategic outcomes;
- building sustainable capabilities and establishing a stable balance of power;
- training multifunctional and professional personnel;
- using media and legal tools to weaken the adversary's legitimacy;
- adhering to legal and ethical principles to gain legitimacy;
- establishing asymmetric deterrence through proxy forces.

These rules collectively illustrate how a weaker actor, by exploiting asymmetries across software, hardware, and human dimensions, can shape conflict dynamics in its favor and offset the stronger actor's conventional advantages.

Conclusion

The findings of this meta-synthesis demonstrate that in modern conflict environments, classical warfare paradigms are insufficient for addressing the strategic challenges posed by contemporary complexities. The disparities between the Islamic Republic of Iran and the global arrogance front further reinforce the necessity of adopting asymmetric warfare principles. By synthesizing insights from existing research, this study provides a structured model composed of software, hardware, and human resource dimensions. Moreover, the study clarifies the specific rules under which a weaker actor can achieve victory by systematically leveraging asymmetries while avoiding direct confrontation.

Ultimately, the research highlights that asymmetric warfare is not merely a tactical choice but a strategic necessity for actors facing structural disadvantages. Victory in such contexts depends on cognitive, material, and human factors working in concert to exploit imbalances, undermine the opponent's strengths, and create sustainable strategic advantage.

Keywords: Asymmetric Warfare, Asymmetric War, Islamic Republic of Iran, War Model

References

- Abedi Jafari, A. (2019). Meta-synthesis: A method for synthesizing qualitative studies. *Journal of Research Methodology in Humanities*, 99, 73–87. (In Persian)
- American Psychological Association. (2020). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (7th ed.). APA.
- Bowen, W. Q. (2004). Dimensions of asymmetric warfare (M. Firoozi, Trans.). *Basij Studies Quarterly*, 23, 85–120. (In Persian)
- Brown, M. (2019). *Asymmetric Warfare and Modern Conflict*. New York: Random House.
- Charmaz, K. (2014). *Constructing grounded theory* (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.
- Dehghan, N., & Moradi, Sh. (2009). Explaining the concept of asymmetric warfare strategy and factors affecting its success and effectiveness. *Military Management*, 34, 1–44. (In Persian)
- Esmaili, M., & Alavi, S. M. (2020, July). A comparative study of the military budget of the Islamic Republic of Iran with selected countries. *Defensive Strategy Quarterly*. (In Persian)
- Gharayagh Zandi, D. (2005). Asymmetric warfare: The puzzle of power and fear. *Defensive Strategy Quarterly*, 7, 65–81. (In Persian)

- Giddens, A. (1999). *Sociology* (M. Sabouri, Trans.). Tehran: Ney. (In Persian)
- Gray, C. S. (2002). Asymmetric threat from the perspective of the United States (M. Firoozi, Trans.). *Defensive Policy Journal*, 40–41, 179–196. (In Persian)
- Habibi, N. (2007). Examining the impact of asymmetric warfare methods in countering military threats. *Military Management Quarterly*, 26, 5–44. (In Persian)
- Hoffman, F. G. (2007). *Conflict in the 21st century: The rise of hybrid wars*. Potomac Institute for Policy Studies .
- Hoffman, F. G., Neumeyer, M., & Jensen, B. (2024). *The future of hybrid warfare*. National Defense University. Retrieved from <https://www.ndu.edu>
- Jones, D. (2018). *The Dynamics of Asymmetric Conflict*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kalhor, R. (2002). Information in asymmetric warfare: A lesson from September 11. *Defensive Policy Journal*, 38–39, 31–52. (In Persian)
- Kilcullen, D. (2006). Countering global insurgency. *Journal of Strategic Studies*, 28(4), 597-617.
- Mack, A. (2002). Why Big Nations Lose Small Wars: The Politics of Asymmetric Conflict. *World Politics*, 27(2), 175-200.
- Mohammadi Sani, M. (2021). A conceptual framework for asymmetric media arrangement of IRIB [Master's thesis]. IRIB University. (In Persian)
- Mortazavian Farsani, S. A., & Aghabalazadeh, A. A. (2018). Agent-oriented military doctrine: A new approach in asymmetric warfare. *Strategic Defense Studies*, 16, 45–68. (In Persian)
- Paterson, B. L., Thorne, S. E., Canam, C., & Jillings, C. (2001). *Meta-study of qualitative health research: A practical guide to meta-analysis and meta-synthesis*. Sage Publications.
- Razmkhah, M. R. (2005). Asymmetric warfare. *Quarterly Journal of Military Science and Technology*, 2, 6–16. (In Persian)
- Sa'ed, N. (2006). Assessing the doctrine of asymmetric warfare from the perspective of international humanitarian law. *Public Law Research*, 8, 101–124. (In Persian)
- Sandelowski, M., & Barroso, J. (2007). *Handbook for synthesizing qualitative research*. Springer Publishing Company.
- SIPRI (2023). *Military expenditure by country, in constant (2021) US\$ m., 1988-2022*. Stockholm International Peace Research Institute.
- Smith, R. (2020). *Conventional Warfare: Theory and Practice*. London: Oxford University Press.

- Strauss, A., & Corbin, J. (1998). Basics of qualitative research: Techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.
- Taylor, M. (1977). Guerilla Warfare: A Historical and Theoretical Overview. Boston: Harvard University Press.
- Walsh, D., & Downe, S. (2005). Meta-synthesis method for qualitative research: A literature review. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 50(2), 204–211.

Publisher: Imam Hussein University

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

© **Authors**

